	Case 5:08-cv-00664-JW	Document 24	Filed 05/02	2/2008	Page 1 of 4	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EDMUND G. BROWN JR Attorney General of the Sta MIGUEL A. NERI, Superv Deputy Attorney General FIEL TIGNO, Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN KIYO HUSTER Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 173622 DAVID PAI Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 227058 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-055	ate of California vising				
9	Telephone: (510) 622-21 Fax: (510) 622-2121					
10 11	Attorneys for Defendant California Department of Rehabilitation	Corrections and				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
14 15						
16	ROSARIO MARINELI	LO,		Case No	o.: ¢ 08-0664-JW	
17			Petitioner,		MANAGEMENT ERENCE STATEMENT	
18 19 20	v. CALIFORNIA DEPT. OF CORRECTIO REHABILITATION,		S AND espondent.	Date: M Time: 1 Courtro	May 12, 2008 10:00 a.m.	
21				Trial Da Action 1	nte: N/A Filed:	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Defendant California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR") submit following information to assist the Court in the management of this case. 1. Jurisdiction and Service: CDCR was served on March 20, 2008. 2. Facts: Plaintiff, proceeding in pro per, was an employee of CDCR at Salinas Val State Prison in Soledad, California. Plaintiff's claim involve apparent dissatisfaction with					

20

September 2008 Requests for Admissions September 2008 Deposition of Witnesses October 2008 **Expert Depositions**

Class Actions: Not applicable. 9.

28

26

27

- 2
- 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- 10. Related Cases: Defendant is unaware of any related cases at this time.
- 11. Relief: At this time defendant is unable to determine the basis of calculating damages, if any. Defendant can provide such information following appropriate discovery.
- 12. Settlement and ADR: Defendants ask to defer ADR discussions until after the court rules on its Rule 12(b)6 motion.
- 13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes: Defendant declines to proceed before a magistrate judge for all purposes.
 - 14. Other References: Not applicable.
 - 15. Narrowing on issues: None at this time.
- 16. Expedited Schedule: Defendant is unaware of any basis to which this matter must proceed expeditiously.
 - 17. **Scheduling:** Defendant proposes the following:

Event	<u>Date</u>
Expert designation	90 days before first day of trial
Discovery cut-off	30 days before first day of trial (all discovery
	to be completed)
Hearing on dispositive motions	30 days before first day of trial
Pretrial conference, interim	60 days before first day of trial
Final pretrial conference	7 days before first day of trial
Trial	December 8-12, 2008, or at such time the
	court is available

- 18. Trial: Claims for reinstatement, backpay and front pay for Title VII violation are not jury triable because they are equitable remedies. Lutz v. Glendale Union High School, 403 F3d 1061, 1067-1069 (9th Cir. 2005). Defendant anticipates this bench trial to be no longer than 5 days.
- 19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons: Not applicable where, as here, defendant is a government entity. N.D. Cal. R. 3-16(a).

1	Case 5:08-cv-00664-JW Document 24	Filed 05/02/2008 Page 4 of 4
_		1 1 4 6 6 6 1 4 1 1 1 4 4 6 4 4 4 4 4 4
1		each plaintiff by telephone for the purpose of
2	preparing a joint case management conferen	nce statement.
3	D.4.1 May 2 2008	
4	Dated: May 2, 2008	Dognostfully submitted
5		Respectfully submitted, EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
6		Attorney General of the State of California MIGUEL A. NERI
7 8		Supervising Deputy Attorney General FIEL D. TIGNO
9		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
10		/s/
11		DAVID PAI Deputy Attorney General
12		Attorneys for Defendant
13		Attorneys for Defendant California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
14		
15		
16		
17	7	
18	3	
19		
20		
21		
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	5	
27	7	
28	8	4
	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STAT	EMENT Marinello v. CDCR
		Case No. C 08-0664 JW